

4-1-2021

Standardized Feeding Approach Mitigates Weight Loss in Infants with Congenital Heart Disease.

Amy Jo Lisanti

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, lisanti@chop.edu

Melanie Savoca

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, savocam@chop.edu

J William Gaynor

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, gaynor@chop.edu

Maria R Mascarenhas

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, mascarenhas@chop.edu

Chitra Ravishankar

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, ravishankar@chop.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalrepository.chop.edu/advpractice>



Part of the [Biomedical Informatics Commons](#), [Cardiology Commons](#), [Cardiovascular Diseases Commons](#), [Congenital, Hereditary, and Neonatal Diseases and Abnormalities Commons](#), [Human and Clinical Nutrition Commons](#), and the [Pediatrics Commons](#)

Citation

Lisanti, A., Savoca, M., Gaynor, J., Mascarenhas, M., Ravishankar, C., Sullivan, E., Pieciak, K., Costarino, A., Chen, J., Kennedy, A., Olsen, R., Min, J., Mondal, A., Huang, J., & Irving, S. (2021). Standardized Feeding Approach Mitigates Weight Loss in Infants with Congenital Heart Disease.. *The Journal of pediatrics*, 231, 124-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.12.047>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Nursing & Clinical Care Services at CHOP Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Center for Advanced Practice by an authorized administrator of CHOP Digital Repository.

Authors

Amy Jo Lisanti, Melanie Savoca, J William Gaynor, Maria R Mascarenhas, Chitra Ravishankar, Erin Sullivan, Karyn Pieciak, Andrew Costarino, Jodi Chen, Andrea Kennedy, Robert Olsen, Jungwon Min, Antara Mondal, Jing Huang, and Sharon Y Irving



Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr. 2021 April ; 231: 124–130.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.12.047.

Standardized Feeding Approach Mitigates Weight Loss in Infants with Congenital Heart Disease

Amy Jo Lisanti, PhD, RN, CCNS, CCRN-K^{1,2,*}, Melanie Savoca, MS, RD, CNSC, LDN¹, J. William Gaynor, MD^{3,4}, Maria R Mascarenhas, MBBS^{4,5}, Chitra Ravishankar, MD^{4,6}, Erin Sullivan, RD, LDN¹, Karyn Pieciak, BSN, RN, CCRN¹, Andrew Costarino, MD^{4,7}, Jodi Chen, MD^{4,7}, Andrea Kennedy, BS⁸, Robert Olsen, BA⁸, Jungwon Min, PhD, MS⁹, Antara Mondal, BS⁹, Jing Huang, PhD^{10,11}, Sharon Y. Irving, PhD, RN, CRNP, FCCM, FAAN^{2,1}

¹Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Nursing and Clinical Care Services

²University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing

³Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Pediatric Cardiothoracic Surgery

⁴University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine

⁵Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition

⁶Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Cardiology

⁷Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Cardiac Critical Care Medicine

⁸Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Center for Healthcare and Quality Analytics

⁹Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Department of Biomedical and Health Informatics, Data Science and Biostatistics Unit

¹⁰Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute

¹¹University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Informatics

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the effect of a standardized feeding approach using a clinical nutrition pathway on weight-for-age Z score (WAZ) over hospital length of stay (HLOS) for infants with congenital heart disease (CHD).

Study design: A ten-year retrospective cohort study examined eligible infants who underwent neonatal cardiac surgery between July 2009 through December 2018 (n=987). Eligibility criteria included infants born at least 37 weeks gestation and a minimum birth weight of 2 kilograms who underwent cardiac surgery for CHD within the first 30 days of life. Using the best linear unbiased

*Corresponding author: Address: 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104, lisanti@email.chop.edu Phone: 610-368-4788 Fax: 267-426-7385.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

predictions (BLUP) from a linear mixed effects model, WAZ change over HLOS was estimated before and after January 2013, when the standardized feeding approach was initiated. BLUP model included adjustment for patient characteristics including sex, race, HLOS, and class of cardiac defect.

Results: Change in WAZ over HLOS was significantly higher during the period 2013–2018 than during the period 2009–2012 (β (SE)=0.16 (0.02), $P < .001$), after controlling for sex, race, HLOS, and CHD category, indicating that infants experienced decreased WAZ loss over HLOS after the standardized feeding approach was initiated. Additionally, differences were found in WAZ loss over HLOS between infants with single ventricle CHD (β (SE)=0.26 (0.04), $p < .001$) and two ventricle CHD (β (SE)=0.04 (0.02), $p = 0.04$).

Conclusions: These data suggest that an organized, focused approach for nutrition therapy using a standardized pathway improves weight change outcomes prior to hospital discharge for infants with single and two ventricle CHD who require neonatal cardiac surgery.

Keywords

growth; nutrition; feeding; critical care; congenital heart disease

Growth is an important indicator of overall health in children. The prevalence of feeding difficulties and growth failure in neonates with congenital heart disease (CHD) is well documented.^{1–3} Growth failure in neonates with cardiac disease can have a detrimental effect on neurodevelopment, morbidity and mortality.^{4–6} Growth failure is multifactorial and may be influenced by a myriad of issues including disease severity and hemodynamics, duration of mechanical ventilation, disturbances in gastrointestinal function, alterations in metabolic demands, inadequate energy and protein intake, poor feeding skills, vocal cord dysfunction, chylothorax, neurological dysfunction, and infection.^{2, 5, 7–10} Although many of these factors are non-modifiable, a standardized approach to nutrition, including the use of clinical nutrition pathways, has demonstrated improved outcomes in critically ill neonates undergoing surgery for CHD by minimizing variability for nutritional care between providers and ensuring modifiable factors are promptly identified and addressed.^{11–15}

The Nutrition Quality Improvement Committee (NQIC) was formed in the Cardiac Center at Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) to address the growth and nutrition deficiencies in infants with CHD requiring neonatal cardiac surgery. Rapid cycle improvement tests of change in Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) steps¹⁶ were implemented in 2013 with the overall goal of enhancing nutritional therapy (Table I). In 2014, the NQIC began to organize these improvements into clinical pathways, and a comprehensive clinical pathway was implemented in November 2016. The goal of the clinical pathway is to support a standardized feeding approach in our Cardiac Intensive Care Unit (CICU) for both pre- and post-operative neonates with both single and two-ventricular physiology, with or without arch obstruction. The pathway is accessible to the public and can be found at <https://www.chop.edu/clinical-pathway/nutrition-neonates-undergoing-surgery-chd-clinical-pathway>

The aims of this study were to evaluate the effect of a standardized feeding approach including a clinical nutrition pathway on: 1. Weight-for-age Z score (WAZ) change over hospital length of stay (HLOS) for infants with CHD. 2. WAZ change over HLOS for infants with single ventricle CHD and with two ventricle CHD. 3. Mortality, HLOS, and tube feeding status at hospital discharge for infants with single ventricle CHD and with two ventricle CHD.

Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study of eligible infants admitted to the CICU who underwent neonatal cardiac surgery between July 2009 through December 2018, as far back as our data warehouse could provide complete data on infants prior to our standardized feeding approach. Infants were included who had a gestational age \geq 37 weeks, birth weight \geq 2kg, and underwent cardiac surgery for CHD \leq 30 days of age. Infants who did not require surgery in the neonatal period were excluded. Data were queried from our institution's local Pediatric Cardiac Critical Care Consortium (PC4) and Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) databases. PC4 was used for hospitalization and CICU encounter-level data, and STS was used for surgical data. Demographics data were extracted from both databases. The cohort of infants was defined by the infants' age at surgery, date of surgery, operation type, gestational age, and birth weight.

Demographic data abstracted for all eligible infants included sex, race/ethnicity, diagnosis, gestational age at birth, and birth weight. CHD diagnoses were categorized into four previously described categories that have been shown to predict perioperative mortality: Class I, 2 ventricles with no aortic arch obstruction; Class II, 2 ventricles with aortic arch obstruction; Class III, single ventricle without arch obstruction; and Class IV, single ventricle with arch obstruction.^{17, 18} For example, subjects with d-transposition of the great arteries are usually categorized as Class I, and subjects with hypoplastic left heart syndrome are categorized Class IV. Date and weight at hospital admission and hospital discharge were captured to calculate HLOS and WAZ change during hospitalization. WAZ for each infant were calculated using World Health Organization standards.¹⁹ In addition, use of enteral feeding tube at hospital discharge (present versus not present) and mortality (alive at discharge) were also collected. Due to the retrospective nature of its design, this study met criteria for exempt status by the institutional review board at our hospital.

Finally, we examined overall adherence to our comprehensive clinical pathway for one year in the post-implementation period from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018. We categorized adherence as meeting the following three criteria: 1. Appropriate use of preoperative parenteral nutrition according to pathway recommendations; 2. Appropriate use of postoperative parenteral nutrition according to pathway recommendations; and 3. Appropriate use of a naso- or orogastric feeding tube according to pathway recommendations. We also examined the data on necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) in our PC4 dataset, which were available starting in the year 2013. In PC4, NEC is defined as an acute reduction in the supply of oxygenated blood to the small intestine or large intestine, typically resulting in acidosis, abdominal distention, pneumatosis, and/or intestinal perforation, that prompts initiation of antibiotics or exploratory laparotomy. Therefore, infants were coded as

positive for NEC when there was documented abdominal distention, pneumatosis (on X-ray or ultrasound), and placed on antibiotics for any length of time.

Our analysis plan took into consideration the variation in practices over time. Outcomes in the same year could be correlated due to the possibility that infants may receive similar care in the CICU during a particular period of time, creating a batch effect. Therefore, we used a linear mixed effects model with random intercept for year to study the change of WAZ and HLOS. Specifically, we estimated the year-specific outcomes after adjusting for infant and hospital characteristics, using the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP), and used the standard error of BLUP to account for the uncertainty in the estimates and batch effect.²⁰ To evaluate the impact of the standardized feeding approach on outcomes, we tested the difference in each outcome before and after January 2013, using weighted linear regression models (weighted by the 1/variance of the estimated year-specific outcomes to reduce the study bias caused by small sample size in some of the years). For the binary outcomes of mortality and tube feeding status at hospital discharge, we used multilevel logistic regression models to test the difference in outcomes before and after January 2013 and considering random effects across years. We then separated our sample into infants with two ventricle CHD (Class I and II) and infants with single ventricle CHD (Class III and IV) and repeated the above analyses for these groups.

We also performed exploratory analysis of the primary outcome on a subgroup of the highest risk infants in our cohort with HLOS greater than 30 days. Using the same methods as the primary analysis, we estimated the year-specific outcomes of WAZ over HLOS after adjusting for infant and hospital characteristics, using BLUP and the standard error of BLUP to account for the uncertainty in the estimates and batch effect. We tested the difference in WAZ over HLOS before and after January 2013 using weighted linear regression models.

Finally, we separately analyzed our pathway adherence data over one year by calculating the percent of infants that met all three criteria of adherence, as defined previously. We also separately calculated the yearly rate of NEC diagnosed in our cohort in the years 2013 to 2018, per PC4 criteria.

Results

A total of 1059 subjects was identified as eligible between July 1, 2009 and December 31, 2018, with 987 of these having complete WAZ data for analysis (Figure 1). Over the 10-year period, the majority of infants were male (59%), white and non-Hispanic (60%), with a range of classified diagnoses in CHD categories from Classes I to IV (Table II). Mean infant birth weight was 3.27 kg (SD, 0.50). Infants lost an average of 1 WAZ over HLOS, ranging from -3.58 to 4.37.

Change in WAZ over HLOS differed significantly across years after controlling for sex, race, hospital length of stay, and CHD category (β (SE)= 0.02 (0.01), $p=0.02$). Infants' change in WAZ over HLOS was significantly higher during 2013–2018 than during 2009–2012 (β (SE)=0.16 (0.02), $p<.001$), after controlling for sex, race, HLOS, and CHD Category (Figure 2). The total sample across the 10-year period was divided into two groups: infants

with two ventricle CHD (Class I and II) (n=637) and infants with single ventricle CHD (Class III and IV) (n=350). Change in WAZ over HLOS was also found to be significantly higher during 2013 to 2018 when compared with 2009 to 2012 in infants with single ventricle CHD (β (SE)= 0.26 (0.04), $p<.001$) and those with two ventricle CHD (β (SE)= 0.04 (0.02), $p=0.04$) (Figure 3; available at www.jpeds.com).

After adjusting for sex, race, HLOS and CHD category, there were no differences in mortality between groups in either the 2009–2012 or the 2013–2018 cohorts for infants with both single (β (SE)=0 (0), $p=1.00$) and two ventricle (β (SE)= -0.01 (0.02), $p=0.56$) CHD. After adjusting for sex, race, HLOS and CHD, there were also no differences in tube feeding status at hospital discharge for infants with both single (β (SE)=0.01 (0.01), $p=0.09$) and two ventricle (β (SE)=0.05 (0.03), $p=0.10$) CHD. Finally, no significant differences in HLOS were found for infants with both single (β (SE)=3.81 (3.47), $p=0.30$); two ventricle (β (SE)=5.35e-21 (1.76e-20), $p=0.76$) CHD, after adjusting for sex, race and CHD category.

For the exploratory analysis of the subgroup of highest-risk infants in our cohort, we identified 225 infants over the 10-year study period whose HLOS was greater than 30 days. In this subgroup, mean HLOS was 74.6 days (SD=58.7; range 31 – 381). After adjusting for sex, race, CHD category, and HLOS, change in WAZ over HLOS differed significantly across years. Infants with HLOS greater than 30 days demonstrated higher change in WAZ over HLOS during 2013–2018 than during 2009–2012 (β (SE)=0.27 (0.05), $p<0.001$).

Our one-year adherence data included 99 total infants who were eligible for the nutrition pathway, with 84 infants meeting the three criteria of adherence with the pathway. This resulted in adherence of 85%. The most common reason for pathway deviation was lack of adequate intravenous access for the provision of parenteral nutrition. The frequency of NEC in our cohort during the years 2013 to 2018, using the PC4 database definition, was 4.73%, with sequential yearly rates starting in 2013 as follows: 6.78%, 6.56%, 4.31%, 1.75%, 5.30%, and 3.45%.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate benefits derived from initiation of a standardized approach to nutrition therapy in critically ill neonates undergoing surgery for CHD in our institution. The results indicate a decreased WAZ loss over HLOS after 2013, suggesting implementation of a standardized nutrition pathway had a positive impact on growth outcomes in infants with CHD with both single and two ventricle physiology. We did not find a negative impact on overall mortality or HLOS related to our approach to nutrition therapy in this cohort. Overall, use of a standard feeding approach in our population mitigated weight loss without negatively impacting hospital length of stay or mortality.

To date, 11 clinical pathways^{8, 21–30} have been published addressing preoperative and/or postoperative nutrition therapy for infants with CHD; all except two^{8, 30} indicating positive outcomes related to pathway implementation. A total of seven pathways^{8, 21, 23–27} were described exclusively for single ventricle physiology and/or hypoplastic left heart syndrome, and one²⁶ of these was expanded for use with both single and two ventricle CHD neonates.

³¹ In addition, one pathway²² provided guidance on perioperative feeding for infants with two ventricle CHD but was later evaluated for both single and two ventricle patients.³² Our pathway contributes additional support to the current published recommendations on similarly feeding both single and two ventricle CHD infants, with or without arch obstruction.^{28–30} In this way, our pathway provides one comprehensive approach for feeding, minimizing confusion for staff and variability in practice. Interestingly, our comprehensive feeding approach appeared to have a greater degree of effect in the infants with single ventricle CHD versus infants with two ventricle CHD. Pathways have mostly targeted infants with single ventricle CHD due to their enhanced risk for feeding difficulties and growth failure and may have a greater response to standardized feeding pathways that ensure the provision of adequate nutrition. However, our results demonstrate that infants with two ventricle CHD benefitted from standardized feeding methods with improved growth outcomes and should be included in future efforts and research targeting growth and nutrition in CHD.

Although the benefits of feeding protocols have been demonstrated, the components of a successful pathway for the CHD population have not been as clearly delineated. In 2013, at the initiation of our standardized feeding approach, there was less available supporting literature providing evidence-based strategies for feeding infants before and after cardiac surgery. When supportive evidence is lacking, clinical decision-making is often based on provider experience, comfort level and expert opinion to reach a consensus in unique patient populations such as the infant cardiac surgical population. We used a quality improvement methodology, as described by others, in developing pathway content.³² During development of our clinical nutrition pathway, the National Pediatric Cardiology Quality Improvement Collaborative (NPC-QIC) published recommendations for calorie goals, initiation of preoperative oral feeding, and use of parenteral and enteral nutrition.⁸ Our pathway content expanded on similar themes within the NPC-QIC guidelines and included amended components from other published pathways.

Successful provision of optimal nutrition to infants with CHD must leverage the specialized expertise of registered dietitians,^{8, 21–23, 26, 29, 30} feeding specialists such as speech language pathologists,^{8, 22, 23, 28–30} and clinical nurses.^{21–23, 29} Our clinical pathway is the first to highlight the value of utilizing a lactation consultant in addition to prioritizing the use of human milk through maternal or donor milk.^{8, 24, 26} Additional major strategies within our pathway supported in other published pathways include pre-operative enteral feeding, including those infants with ductal-dependent lesions on prostaglandin infusions,^{8, 22, 25} use of preoperative^{8, 22} and postoperative^{8, 21–24, 26, 27, 30} parenteral nutrition, and early initiation of enteral feeding in the postoperative period^{21, 23, 24, 26–30} via use of a naso- or orogastric feeding tube. Furthermore, our pathway includes clear definitions of hemodynamic stability for feeding^{8, 21–24, 26, 28, 29} and explicitly describes feeding intolerance.^{8, 21–25, 29} Although variation exists across pathways for each of these definitions, it appears that the integration of clear definitions for these components of feeding is an important aspect for pathway content. Furthermore, our pathway included guidelines on holding and advancing feeds,^{8, 21–23, 25, 26, 29} oral feeding readiness assessment,^{8, 22, 28} and frequent monitoring of anthropometrics.^{8, 21–24, 29, 30} With clear delineation of key components of nutrition therapy for pre- and post-operative infants with

CHD and the improvement we demonstrated in WAZ, our pathway has filled gaps that have previously not been addressed for feeding critically ill infants with CHD.

Our pathway is both readily available to the public and is also linked to the electronic health record when ordered for a patient at our institution. It is accessible as a teaching tool for nurses and front-line providers. Inherent in the pathway design is an abundance of resources for parents and clinicians, giving basis and rationale for how nutrition therapy in these infants is initiated and advanced.

A retrospective study design has inherent limitations and biases and should be interpreted with caution. Our heterogenous population created challenges; therefore, we categorized CHD diagnoses into classes to account for severity of disease. Although we saw an overall improvement in WAZ, it is possible that other unmeasured changes in care could have affected the primary outcomes in this study. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, we could only examine data that were available in the electronic health records over the 10-year period. We were unable to obtain other growth measures, including accurate lengths and head circumferences at the time of discharge, to examine these as outcomes. Additionally, it was beyond the scope of this study to analyze the caloric and protein intake of each patient on study, and we were unable to measure NEC or other infection rates across the 10-year study period. Our NEC prevalence during the years 2013 to 2018 should be interpreted with caution, especially because our therapeutic approach and use of diagnostics (X-ray versus ultrasound) changed across these years. We also do not have data on pathway adherence over the entire study time frame. However, our one-year adherence data suggest that the core practice changes that were initiated with our standardized feeding approach were applied to a majority of infants. Adherence was encouraged in real time during daily rounds as often as possible and by a consistent roster of members on the NQIC committee. Although we have seen success in WAZ over the course of hospitalization, more research is needed to determine which aspects of standardized nutrition therapy have the most impact in this patient population. Future work should include defining and monitoring pathway adherence to better understand influences on patient outcomes.

Acknowledgments


Supported by the Cardiac Center Research Core at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. A.L. was also supported by NINR T32NR007100. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Anderson JB, Beekman RH 3rd, Eghtesady P, Kalkwarf HJ, Uzark K, Kehl JE, et al. Predictors of poor weight gain in infants with a single ventricle. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157(3):407–13, 13 e1. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.04.012 [PubMed: 20472248]
2. Anderson JB, Iyer SB, Schidlow DN, Williams R, Varadarajan K, Horsley M, et al. Variation in growth of infants with a single ventricle. *J Pediatr*. 2012;161(1):16–21 e1; quiz e2–3. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.01.009 [PubMed: 22336578]
3. Schwalbe-Terilli CR, Hartman DH, Nagle ML, Gallagher PR, Ittenbach RF, Burnham NB, et al. Enteral feeding and caloric intake in neonates after cardiac surgery. *Am J Crit Care*. 2009;18(1):52–7. 10.4037/ajcc2009405 [PubMed: 19116405]
4. Ravishankar C, Zak V, Williams IA, Bellinger DC, Gaynor JW, Ghanayem NS, et al. Association of impaired linear growth and worse neurodevelopmental outcome in infants with single ventricle

- physiology: a report from the pediatric heart network infant single ventricle trial. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162(2):250–6 e2. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.07.048 [PubMed: 22939929]
5. Medoff-Cooper B, Irving SY, Marino BS, Garcia-Espana JF, Ravishankar C, Bird GL, et al. Weight change in infants with a functionally univentricular heart: from surgical intervention to hospital discharge. *Cardiol Young*. 2011;21(2):136–44. 10.1017/S104795111000154X [PubMed: 21070691]
 6. Medoff-Cooper B, Irving SY, Hanlon AL, Golfenshtein N, Radcliffe J, Stallings VA, et al. The Association among Feeding Mode, Growth, and Developmental Outcomes in Infants with Complex Congenital Heart Disease at 6 and 12 Months of Age. *J Pediatr*. 2016;169:154–9 e1. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.10.017 [PubMed: 26585995]
 7. Owens JL, Musa N. Nutrition support after neonatal cardiac surgery. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2009;24(2):242–9. 10.1177/0884533609332086 [PubMed: 19321898]
 8. Slicker J, Hehir DA, Horsley M, Monczka J, Stern KW, Roman B, et al. Nutrition algorithms for infants with hypoplastic left heart syndrome; birth through the first interstage period. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2013;8(2):89–102. 10.1111/j.1747-0803.2012.00705.x [PubMed: 22891735]
 9. Williams RV, Zak V, Ravishankar C, Altmann K, Anderson J, Atz AM, et al. Factors affecting growth in infants with single ventricle physiology: a report from the Pediatric Heart Network Infant Single Ventricle Trial. *J Pediatr*. 2011;159(6):1017–22 e2. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.05.051 [PubMed: 21784436]
 10. Toole BJ, Toole LE, Kyle UG, Cabrera AG, Orellana RA, Coss-Bu JA. Perioperative nutritional support and malnutrition in infants and children with congenital heart disease. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2014;9(1):15–25. 10.1111/chd.12064 [PubMed: 23602045]
 11. Hamilton S, McAleer DM, Ariagno K, Barrett M, Stenquist N, Duggan CP, et al. A stepwise enteral nutrition algorithm for critically ill children helps achieve nutrient delivery goals. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2014;15(7):583–9. 10.1097/PCC.000000000000179 [PubMed: 25045848]
 12. Brown A-M, Forbes ML, Vitale VS, Tirodker UH, Zeller R. Effects of a Gastric Feeding Protocol on Efficiency of Enteral Nutrition in Critically Ill Infants and Children. *ICAN: Infant, Child, & Adolescent Nutrition*. 2017;4(3):175–80. 10.1177/1941406412446699
 13. Martinez EE, Bechard LJ, Mehta NM. Nutrition algorithms and bedside nutrient delivery practices in pediatric intensive care units: an international multicenter cohort study. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2014;29(3):360–7. 10.1177/0884533614530762 [PubMed: 24740498]
 14. Wong JJ, Ong C, Han WM, Lee JH. Protocol-driven enteral nutrition in critically ill children: a systematic review. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2014;38(1):29–39. 10.1177/0148607113502811 [PubMed: 24072738]
 15. Gentles E, Mara J, Diamantidi K, Alfheaid HA, Spenceley N, Davidson M, et al. Delivery of enteral nutrition after the introduction of practice guidelines and participation of dietitians in pediatric critical care clinical teams. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2014;114(12):1974–80 e3. 10.1016/j.jand.2014.04.027 [PubMed: 24961555]
 16. Taylor MJ, McNicholas C, Nicolay C, Darzi A, Bell D, Reed JE. Systematic review of the application of the plan-do-study-act method to improve quality in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014;23(4):290–8. 10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001862
 17. Gaynor JW, Stopp C, Wypij D, Andropoulos DB, Atallah J, Atz AM, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes after cardiac surgery in infancy. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(5):816–25. 10.1542/peds.2014-3825 [PubMed: 25917996]
 18. International Cardiac Collaborative on Neurodevelopment I. Impact of Operative and Postoperative Factors on Neurodevelopmental Outcomes After Cardiac Operations. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;102(3):843–9. 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.05.081 [PubMed: 27496628]
 19. de Onis M, Garza C, Onyango AW, Rolland-Cachera MF, le Comité de nutrition de la Société française de p. [WHO growth standards for infants and young children]. *Arch Pediatr*. 2009;16(1):47–53. 10.1016/j.arcped.2008.10.010 [PubMed: 19036567]
 20. Harville DA. BLUP (Best Linear Unbiased Prediction) and Beyond. *Advances in Statistical Methods for Genetic Improvement of Livestock*. Advanced Series in Agricultural Sciences. 1990. p. 239–76.

21. Braudis NJ, Curley MA, Beaupre K, Thomas KC, Hardiman G, Laussen P, et al. Enteral feeding algorithm for infants with hypoplastic left heart syndrome poststage I palliation. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2009;10(4):460–6. 10.1097/PCC.0b013e318198b167 [PubMed: 19307819]
22. Furlong-Dillard J, Neary A, Marietta J, Jones C, Jeffers G, Gakenheimer L, et al. Evaluating the Impact of a Feeding Protocol in Neonates before and after Biventricular Cardiac Surgery. *Pediatr Qual Saf*. 2018;3(3):e080. 10.1097/pq9.000000000000080 [PubMed: 30229192]
23. Kaufman J, Vichayavilas P, Rannie M, Peyton C, Carpenter E, Hull D, et al. Improved nutrition delivery and nutrition status in critically ill children with heart disease. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(3):e717–25. 10.1542/peds.2014-1835 [PubMed: 25687139]
24. del Castillo SL, McCulley ME, Khemani RG, Jeffries HE, Thomas DW, Peregrine J, et al. Reducing the incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis in neonates with hypoplastic left heart syndrome with the introduction of an enteral feed protocol. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2010;11(3):373–7. 10.1097/PCC.0b013e3181c01475 [PubMed: 19838139]
25. Toms R, Jackson KW, Dabal RJ, Reebals CH, Alten JA. Preoperative trophic feeds in neonates with hypoplastic left heart syndrome. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2015;10(1):36–42. 10.1111/chd.12177 [PubMed: 24605907]
26. Carpenito KR, Prusinski R, Kirchner K, Simsic J, Miao Y, Luce W, et al. Results of a Feeding Protocol in Patients Undergoing the Hybrid Procedure. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2016;37(5):852–9. 10.1007/s00246-016-1359-x [PubMed: 26921065]
27. Manuri L, Morelli S, Agati S, Saitta MB, Oretto L, Mandraffino G, et al. Early hybrid approach and enteral feeding algorithm could reduce the incidence of necrotising enterocolitis in neonates with ductus-dependent systemic circulation. *Cardiol Young*. 2017;27(1):154–60. 10.1017/S1047951116000275 [PubMed: 28281412]
28. Ehrmann DE, Harendt S, Church J, Stimmler A, Vichayavilas P, Batz S, et al. Noncompliance to a Postoperative Algorithm Using Feeding Readiness Assessments Prolonged Length of Stay at a Pediatric Heart Institute. *Pediatr Qual Saf*. 2017;2(5):e042. 10.1097/pq9.000000000000042 [PubMed: 30229178]
29. Newcombe J, Fry-Bowers E. A Post-operative Feeding Protocol to Improve Outcomes for Neonates With Critical Congenital Heart Disease. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2017;35:139–43. 10.1016/j.pedn.2016.12.010 [PubMed: 28063770]
30. Wong JJ, Cheifetz IM, Ong C, Nakao M, Lee JH. Nutrition Support for Children Undergoing Congenital Heart Surgeries: A Narrative Review. *World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg*. 2015;6(3):443–54. 10.1177/2150135115576929 [PubMed: 26180163]
31. Simsic JM, Carpenito KR, Kirchner K, Peters S, Miller-Tate H, Joy B, et al. Reducing variation in feeding newborns with congenital heart disease. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2017;12(3):275–81. 10.1111/chd.12435 [PubMed: 27865060]
32. Furlong-Dillard JM, Miller BJ, Sward KA, Neary AI, Hardin-Reynolds TL, Jeffers G, et al. The association between feeding protocol compliance and weight gain following high-risk neonatal cardiac surgery. *Cardiol Young*. 2019;29(5):594–601. 10.1017/S1047951119000222 [PubMed: 31133078]




Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Weighted linear regression model with random effects model result for single ventricle group across years after adjusting for sex, race, hospital length of stay, and CHD category:



Weighted linear regression model with random effects model result for two ventricle group across years after adjusting for sex, race, hospital length of stay, and CHD category:




Table 1:

Timeline of Quality Improvement Initiatives

| Date | Intervention |
|----------------|---|
| May 2013 | Staff education within the CICU on anthropometrics, including daily weights and weekly lengths and head circumference. PDSA: trial mandatory NGT placement for all postoperative neonates < 30 days of age within 24 hours of initiation of oral/enteral feeds. |
| July 2013 | Goal achieved for >90% compliance on daily weight measurements. PDSA: Provided guidance for ad lib by mouth feeding. Instituted a smart phrase in the electronic health record that standardized ad lib oral feeding to include minimum volumes, frequency of feeding, and feeding based on infant feeding cues. |
| October 2013 | PDSA: Instituted a practice change for intravenous fluid carriers standardized and safe minimized volumes identified for maintaining line patency but preventing excessive fluid administration. <i>Caring for Your Baby with an NG Tube</i> Brochure created and published. Added to documents reviewed during prenatal consult as well as new CICU admission packets for parents. |
| April 2014 | Development of a standardized pathway began for preoperative and postoperative neonates with both single and two ventricle CHD to improve weight-for-age Z score change over hospital length of stay. Literature review of 35 articles specific to needs of neonates with CHD. Pathway content was created based on existing evidence and expert recommendation by committee members. |
| September 2014 | Infant driven feeding education provided to nursing staff. New documentation rows added to electronic health record nursing flowsheet. |
| November 2014 | Preoperative Pathway implemented |
| January 2016 | Postoperative Pathway implemented |
| November 2016 | Comprehensive Nutrition Pathway implemented. Key practice recommendations supported by the pathway: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Pre and postoperative parenteral nutrition – Enteral feeding readiness assessment – Mandatory early use of NG tubes (must be taking full caloric goals for two consecutive days before removal) – No <i>ad lib</i> feeding orders post-op – Promotion of human milk, special considerations for breast feeding, and fortification guidelines – Infant driven feeding practices and oral aversion prevention – Feeding tolerance evaluation and recommendations |

PDSA: Plan-Do-Study-Act

Table 2 –

Infant Characteristics

| Infant Characteristics | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Categorical Variables | | <i>n</i> | % |
| Sex | Male | 588 | 59.6 |
| | Female | 399 | 40.4 |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, NH ^a | 593 | 60.1 |
| | Black, NH ^a | 108 | 10.9 |
| | Hispanic | 133 | 13.5 |
| | NH ^a other | 153 | 15.5 |
| Year of Hospital Admission | 2009 | 36 | 3.6 |
| | 2010 | 83 | 8.4 |
| | 2011 | 93 | 9.4 |
| | 2012 | 102 | 10.3 |
| | 2013 | 110 | 11.1 |
| | 2014 | 114 | 11.6 |
| | 2015 | 107 | 10.8 |
| | 2016 | 109 | 11.0 |
| | 2017 | 124 | 12.6 |
| | 2018 | 109 | 11.0 |
| CHD Category ^b | I | 389 | 39.4 |
| | II | 248 | 25.1 |
| | III | 142 | 14.4 |
| | IV | 208 | 21.1 |
| Continuous Variables | | <i>M</i> | <i>SD</i> |
| Birth weight (kg) | | 3.27 | 0.50 |
| Gestational age (weeks) | | 38.66 | 0.97 |
| Hospital length of stay (days) | | 28.95 | 37.78 |
| Hospital admission weight (kg) | | 3.29 | 0.52 |
| Hospital discharge weight (kg) | | 3.60 | 0.88 |
| WHO ^c 2006 WAZ ^d for hospital admission weight | | -0.16 | 1.10 |
| WHO ^c 2006 WAZ ^d for hospital discharge weight | | -1.17 | 1.14 |
| WAZ ^d change over hospital length of stay | | -1.00 | 0.73 |

^aNH=Non-Hispanic

^bCongenital Heart Disease Category (I=Two ventricles, no arch obstruction, II=Two ventricles, with arch obstruction, III=Single Ventricle, no arch obstruction, IV=Single Ventricle, with arch obstruction)

^cWHO=World Health Organization

^dWAZ=Weight-for-Age Z score

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript